

## REMARKS

Claims 1 – 22 are pending in the Patent Application.

Claims 1 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Examiner points out that in each of independent Claims 1, 6, 14, 19, and 20, the use of “the phase shifted signal” lacks antecedent basis. Examiner recommends that Applicant rewrite in each of the “cycling” method steps to recite clearly that “phase shifted signals” are produced, then the rejection will be overcome.

In accordance with Examiner’s recommendation, Applicant has rewritten the independent claims in order to clearly recited that the phase shifted signals are produced by incorporating the language “to generate respective phase shifted signals” appropriately in the “cycling” methods steps of said claims.

Consequently, independent Claims 1, 6, 14, 19, and 20 should now be in condition for allowance.

Furthermore, dependent Claims 2 – 5 (depending from allowable Claim 1), dependent Claims 7 – 13 (depending from allowable Claim 6), Claims 15 – 18 (depending from allowable Claim 14), and dependent Claims 21 – 22 (depending from allowable Claim 20) are also in condition for allowance.



Serial No. 10/612,693..... Page 2

Applicant requests that the case pass to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 30,021

Leonard A. Alkov, Attorney for Applicants

Tel. No. 310.647.2577

Fax No. 310.647.2616

Raytheon Company  
P.O. Box 902 (E4/N119)  
El Segundo, CA 90245-0902